WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

FRESHWATER MONITORING UNIT

STREAM DISCHARGE TECHNICAL NOTES

STATION ID: 05H070

STATION NAME: Squire Creek at Squire Creek Park

WATER YEAR: 2007

AUTHOR: Don Watt

Introduction

Watershed Description

Squire Creek drains a steep, north-facing basin covering about 20 square miles upstream of the gage at Squire Creek Park. Much of the basin lies in the Boulder River Wilderness as the stream drains the flanks of Three Fingers South and Whitehorse Mountain. Elevation in the basin ranges from 460 ft at the gage to more than 6800 ft on the higher peaks. Mean basin elevation is 2590 ft. Average basin slope is 57 percent. Over 60 percent of the area is covered in forest canopy. Mean annual precipitation is about 93 inches. Squire Creek and its tributaries provide more than 13 miles of spawning habitat for Chinook, Coho, pink and chum salmon, as well as for steelhead and resident trout.

Gage Location

The gage is on the right bank of Squire Creek, north of the Highway 530 bridge. Access for gage maintenance is through Squire Creek Park property.

Table 1. Basin Area and Legal Description

Drainage Area (square miles)	19.8 square miles
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	48, 16, 13 (NAD83)
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	-121, 40, 19(NAD83)

Table 2. Discharge Statistics.

Mean Annual Discharge (cfs)	217 cfs
Median Annual Discharge (cfs)	139 cfs
Maximum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	3800 cfs
Minimum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	0.09 cfs
Maximum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	6460 cfs
Minimum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	0.09 cfs
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 10 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	382 cfs
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 90 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	18 cfs
Number of Days Discharge is Greater Than Range of Ratings	None
Number of Days Discharge is Less Than Range of Ratings	None
Number of Un-Reported Days	None
Number of Days Qualified as Estimates	182 days
Number of Modeled Days	19 days

Note: Statistics displayed in Table 2 may not include values in which the predicted discharge exceeds the range of ratings.

Table 2 Discussion (Discharge Statistics)

Water year 2007 had the second-highest annual mean discharge and the fourth-highest median annual discharge for the period of record from water year 2005 through water year 2013. October of 2006 had one week of mean daily flows that were roughly equal to the lowest daily flows during the nine-year period of record. However, heavy rains occurred in early November, and the rest of the water year was among the wetter years in the period of record.

Table 3. Error Analysis Summary.

Potential Logger Drift Error (% of discharge)		
Potential Weighted Rating Error (% of discharge)	11 %	
Total Potential Error (% of discharge)	14 %	

Table 3 Discussion (Error Analysis)

The potential logger drift error of 3 percent of discharge refers to the amount of instrument drift that has been corrected using a time-weighted adjustment to the stage record.

The potential weighted rating error of 11 percent is calculated based on the quality of individual discharge measurements used to define the rating and on the degree to which those defining measurements conform to the rating curve.

Table 4. Stage Record Summary

Minimum Recorded Stage (feet)	2.93 ft
Maximum Recorded Stage (feet)	11.85 ft
Range of Recorded Stage (feet)	8.92 ft

Table 4 Discussion (Stage Record)

Of the 182 days qualified as estimated data, 57 days included data gaps that were filled using reference data from nearby stations that had been scaled by linear regression to estimate conditions on Squire Creek. An equal number of days were qualified as estimates due to an erratic or noisy data record in which the rapid variations in recorded stage values produced a discharge uncertainty equal to 10 percent of the mean daily flow. For nearly 50 days, the automated data record cannot not be linked to quality assurance observations of the staff gage due to unexplained breaks in the automated record. Finally, there were 19 days in which highflow discharge could only be estimated using the slope-conveyance discharge model.

Table 5. Rating Table Summary

Rating Table No.	3
Period of Ratings	10/1/2005 - 9/30/2006
Range of Ratings (cfs)	0.09 to 9370 cfs
No. of Defining Measurements	31
Rating Error (%)	11 %
Rating Table No.	
Period of Ratings	
Range of Ratings (cfs)	
No. of Defining Measurements	
Rating Error (%)	
Rating Table No.	
Period of Ratings	
Range of Ratings (cfs)	
No. of Defining Measurements	
Rating Error (%)	

Table 5 Discussion (Rating Tables)

Channel geometry was stable throughout water year 2007. No rating shifts were observed during the year.

Table 6. Model Summary

Model Type (Slope conveyance, other, none)	Slope Conveyance
Range of Modeled Stage (feet)	8.4 ft to 13.5 ft
Range of Modeled Discharge (cfs)	1900 cfs to 9370 cfs
Valid Period for Model	Oct. 1 thru Sept. 30
Model Confidence	+/- 5 %

Table 6 Discussion (Modeled Data)

The slope conveyance model for Squire Creek is based on a cross-section and longitudinal survey taken on September 16, 2010, and on data from nine channel-control discharge measurements taken between December 2006 and November 2012. Results from this model are applied throughout the period of record for the station because of the overall stability of the channel geometry.

Table 7.	Survey	Type an	d Date	(station,	cross	section,	longitudir	ıal)

Туре	Date			
Table 7 Discussion (Surveys)				
Activities Completed				